IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

CONNIE ANN WICKLINE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:12-cv-03523

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Connie A. Wickline's Complaint seeking review of the decision of the Acting Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") [ECF 2]. By Standing Order entered September 2, 2010 and filed in this case on July 23, 2012, this action was referred to former United States Magistrate Judge Mary E. Stanley for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF & R"). On April 8, 2013, this action, following Magistrate Judge Stanley's retirement, was referred to Magistrate Judge Dwane L. Tinsley. Magistrate Judge Tinsley filed his PF & R [ECF 15] on August 16, 2013, recommending that this Court affirm the final decision of the Commissioner and dismiss this matter from the Court's docket.

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation

to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file

timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this

Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th

Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F. 2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court

need not conduct a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that

do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and

recommendations." Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections to the

August 16, 2013 PF & R in this case were due on September 3, 2013. To date, no objections

have been filed.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the PF & R [ECF 15], AFFIRMS the final decision of

the Commissioner, DISMISSES the Complaint [ECF 2], and DIRECTS the Clerk to remove

this case from the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

September 9, 2013

THOMAS E. JOHNSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2